

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/652,202	09/02/2003	Yo Yanagida	06753.0562	1710	
22852	7590 07/25/2006		. EXAMINER		
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER LLP 901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413			LEE, BENJAMIN C		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2612	<u> </u>	
			DATE MAILED: 07/25/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/652,202	YANAGIDA ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Benjamin C. Lee	2612

	Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Benjamin C. Lee	2612			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress		
THE R	REPLY FILED 26 June 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LOWANCE.			
1. 🛛 T t F	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3 a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following					
a) [	time periods:	of the final rejection				
b) [						
	Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	, ,	FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN		
have be under 3 set fort may re	ions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date een filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shin (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later duce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) CE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply original three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Office	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as		
f	The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th			
	IDMENTS  The proposed emendment(s) filed ofter a final rejection.	hut prior to the data of filing a brief	will not be entered by			
	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, $\boxtimes$ They raise new issues that would require further co			ecause		
•	(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	•	i L Delow),			
•	(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying	the issues for		
(	(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.			
	NOTE: see attchment. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41	· · · ·				
_	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).		
	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)					
r	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		•			
} 7	For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		l be entered and an e	explanation of		
	Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:					
	Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-9</u> .					
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
	AVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	4 h afa 4h		4 1		
t	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).					
8	The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to other agood and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a 1).		
	The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation EST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ied.		
	The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	it does NOT place the application in	o condition for allowar	nce hecause:		
	<u>.</u>			.55 5500000.		
	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)	1		
			R 1	1		
			Benjamin C. Lee Primary Examiner			

Art Unit: 2612

Application/Control Number: 10/652,202 Page 2

Art Unit: 2612

## **Attachment**

## 1. Response to arguments

- 1) The proposed amendment raises new issue(s): The proposed "wherein the impedance element has a higher impedance against a current component other than a direct current component" constitutes the new issue. While it appears that the "low pass filter" of Akiyama et al. (US 6842108) would seem inherently to have met such limitation, the fact that Applicant disagrees with the Office action rejection over Akiyama et al. in view of Kudo Hiroyuki (JP8-98277) raises the need for further consideration and/or search.
- 2) The proposed amendment does not place application in better form for appeal: This is a result of the new issue consideration raised as indicated above.
- 3) The "external load" discussed in the rejection over Akiyama et al. in view of Kudo Hiroyuki refers to door locking/unlocking mechanism itself, i.e. the electromechanical mechanism for the door lock/unlock functions such as a electromechanical switch or servo motor, etc. that does the actual locking/unlocking, as opposed to the logical/state controls that provide the logic/command signals to such mechanism; the logical/state controls would be the external electronic control unit (ECU 9).

Application/Control Number: 10/652,202

Art Unit: 2612

- 4) The claimed direct current (DC) power line in a vehicle was being met by the primary reference of Akiyama et al. in the Office action rejection, not by Kudo Hiroyuki. Kudo Hiroyuki was used to explicitly show what Akiyama et al. implied or suggested, which is that in Akiyama et al., the filter 11 separates communication signals from the power signal, so that the communication signals goes to ECU 9 (for communicating over the DC power line 5 with internal ECU 8 that is connected to DC power line 5 at a connection point) and the filtered (by filter 11) power signal goes to the door locking/unlocking mechanism (external load) to supply power thereto.
- 5) The Final Office action rejection simply clarified the rejection without using new grounds of rejection over the previous Office action. Applicant alleged the Office action's use of new grounds but without providing any specific evidence to substantiate such allegation for Examiner to respond to. As a result, Examiner refer Applicant to the detailed Office action rejection history for closer scrutiny.
- 6) The proposed amendment does not place application in condition for allowance. The new issue raised, and the possibility of meeting such proposed amendment by the "filter 11" of Akiyama et al. resulted in such a conclusion.
- 2. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Benjamin C. Lee whose telephone number is (571) 272-2963. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon -Thu 11:00Am-7:30Pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel Wu can be reached on (571) 272-2964. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2612

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2612

B.L.